# GENERAL ATOMIC DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS

GA-7223

ABUNDANCES OF TRACE ELEMENTS Na, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co,
AND Cu IN CHONDRULES AND METEORITES; In IN METEORITES
AND TERRESTRIAL MATTER; AND U IN TYPE I
CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 15, 1966

Contract NASw-843

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

66 36063	
(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
(PAGES)  ASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	CATEGORY
	(ACCESSION NUMBER) (PAGES) (PAGES)

July 15, 1966

#### **GENERAL ATOMIC**

DIVISION OF

## **GENERAL DYNAMICS**

JOHN JAY HOPKINS LABORATORY FOR PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE
P.O. BOX 608, SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA 92112

GA-7223

ABUNDANCES OF TRACE ELEMENTS Na, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co,
AND Cu IN CHONDRULES AND METEORITES; In IN METEORITES
AND TERRESTRIAL MATTER; AND U IN TYPE I
CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 15, 1966

Contract NASw-843

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Work done by:

R. A. Schmitt

R. H. Smith

Report written by:

R. A. Schmitt

## PREVIOUS REPORTS IN THIS SERIES

GA-5594-September 1, 1963, through August 31, 1964 GA-6642-September 1, 1964, through August 31, 1965 GA-6893-September, October, November, 1965 GA-6999-December, 1965; January, February, 1966

## CONTENTS

ABUNDANCES OF Na-Cu	1
ABUNDANCES OF INDIUM	5
ABUNDANCES OF U IN TYPE I CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES	5
Experimental Details	25
Results of Beta-Counting	26
Results of Gamma-Ray Counting	29
REFERENCES	31

This progress report covers the contract period from March 1, 1966, through June 15, 1966, under Contract NASw-843.

During this quarter a revised manuscript entitled "Chainpur-like Chondrites, Possible Primitive Precursors of Ordinary Chondrites," by R. A. Schmitt, G. G. Goles, and R. H. Smith, was accepted for publication by Science.

A manuscript entitled "Rare-earth Distributions," by L. A. Haskin (University of Wisconsin) and R. A. Schmitt, has been completed as a chapter in the forthcoming <u>Researches in Geochemistry</u>, Vol. 2 (P. H. Abelson, Editor).

#### ABUNDANCES OF Na -Cu

Abundances of seven elements--Na, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Cu--were determined by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) in 20 individual chondrules separated from the unequilibrated chondrite Weston (see Table 1) and in 20 individual chondrules from Tennasilm (see Table 2), another unequilibrated chondrite. Both of these chondrites have been identified as unequilibrated chondrites by Dodd and Van Schmus. (1) Collation and interpretation of the presently determined chondrule abundances with previously established values for other chondrules (2) will be included in a future paper on chondrule abundances.

A few observations on Weston and Tennasilm abundances are noted below. The extremely high Fe contents (53% to 81% in chondrules 1, 2, 5, 8, and 9) in five magnetic Tennasilm chondrules (see Table 2) compare favorably with the high Fe concentrations (76% to 94% in chondrules 1, 2, 4, 5, 11, and 12) observed (3) in a few magnetic chondrules separated from the Type II carbonaceous chondrite, Renazzo. However, the high Co contents ranging from 3450 to 5320 ppm and the high Cu contents of 220 to 400 ppm in the high Fe Tennasilm chondrules exceed by approximately a factor of two the high Co and Cu contents in the Renazzo chondrules, which have correspondingly high Fe concentrations. The high Cu abundances that are correlated with the high Co contents seem consistent with the postulate that both Co and Cu reside in the metallic phase. The element gold observed by INAA in Tennasilm chondrules 8 and 9 (see Table 2), was identified via decay of the 0.41-MeV  $\gamma$ -ray of 65-hour Au  $^{198}$ .

Since chondrules from Tennasilm and Renazzo have similar abundance properties as cited above, Tennasilm minerals should be subjected to intensive electron microprobe mineralogical studies similar to Renazzo investigations. (4)

1

ABUNDANCES OF Ne, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, AND Cu IN WESTON CHONDRULES (UNEQUILIBRATED CHONDRITE) DETERMINED BY NEUTRON ACTIVATION ANALYSIS Table 1

					<u> </u>			
Chondrule	Mass (mg)	Na (ppm)	Sc (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Ma (ppm)	ች <b>e</b> (ፉ)	( <b>mdď.</b> )	Cu (mdd)
1	0.099	7430±150	0.0	3090±60	1880±60	3.1 <sup>‡</sup> 3.1	26-75	5=25
~	%?·	6620±130	9.4-0.9	1890240	1900240	4.1-1.0	85±30	37-12
m	.382	5240100	8.9±1.1	2990‡60	2020240	5.7±1.1	89±35	38‡11
. <del>1</del>	.463	6400±130	7.0-0.7	3430=70	1980240	7.4-0.4	0	55‡11
٧.	.513	5800±120	19.5±0.8	970=20	480±20*	3.6±1.0	290±20	81-12
9	.552	3750±70	7.0±0.5	5660±60	1830140	2.1±0.8	6±18	14-7
~	.610	5580±110	6.940.5	2780±60	2640±50	2.6±0.7	15±25	3 <del>6,</del> 9
00	.807	17,100-340(out)	15.0±0.6	1840740	650=20	5.9±0.7	250=20	102-11
6	648.	4730=100	10.120.5	1100±30	1970240	13.1±0.6	8±12	21=7
10	.855	12,000,240	5.4-0.4	2370 <del>1</del> 60	1690‡30	4.8±0.6	21764	52±9
Average		6400±1550	8.9±3.7	2310+690	17007460	5.2-2.2	82±77	44-23
					₫(071±061)			
11	%.	2620±60	2.5±0.4	3120±60	3610=70*	7.2±0.9	16±10	10±6
टा	846.	7000-140	2.940.4	1950240	1930240	6.4-0.7	31:10	28+3
13	.950	041-0689	6.2±0.4	3420-70	2830±60*	5.1±0.6	6±10	# <b>6</b> <del>1</del> 8
41	1.19	4500±90	14.3±0.5	1400740	1780=40	4.2-0.5	¥¥,	26±5
15	1.51	7270±150	9.1±0.5	1850240	1820240	4.0-1.9	15±8	2472
16	1.67	5630±110	6.9±0.4	06-0941	1960740	7.2-0.4	18‡7	27-5
17	2.47	4030±80	6.1±0.3	2880±60	2130740	7.3-0.3	9-84	37.44
18	2,81	5350-110	9.4-0.3	2250±50	2040=40	6.1-0.2	11.5	₹92
19	7.24	6200±120	7.9±0.3	2280-50	1720240	8.3±0.2	36±3	31.23
20.	11.92	5420±110	6.3±0.2	2330+60	04±0561	6.6±0.2	10-2	5 <del>1</del> 5
Average		5490±1110	7.2-2.4	2590±700	2180 <del>1</del> 420 (1920-110)	6.5±0.8	20-11	5 <b>€</b> ‡8
Grand Average		5920±1310	8.0±3.1	2450-680	1940±370 (1950±140)±	5.8±1.7	50±50	35±17
a				75				

a Via coincidence counting of annihilation radiation of 12.8-hr Cu
 b Average value if starred values are excluded. Differences between starred values and average values are more than four times the mean deviation.

ABUNDANCES OF NA, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co AND Cu IN TENNASIIM CHONDRUIES (UNEQUILIBRATED CHONDRITE) DETERMINED BY NEUTRON ACTIVATION ANALYSIS Table 2

1 (m) c 0.471 H.D. d 440 to 20 2 (m) 0.433 H.D. 3950 to 3950 to 632	0 0 9.6 <del>1</del> 0.6 9.0 <del>1</del> 0.6		( <b>add</b> )	<b>.</b> (§)	00 00	(mdd)	Au <sup>E</sup> (balf-11fe)
0.433 H.D. 0.590 0.632 0.706 H.D. 1.145 1.21 3.125 H.D. 3.91 0.163 0.163 .670 .670 3.01	9.6±0.6	1880±80	19810	77.	5320±100	350240(0)=	
0.590 0.632 0.706 R.D. 1.145 1.21 3.125 R.D. 3.44 R.D. 3.44 R.D. 3.91 3.01 6.631 6.631 6.631 6.631 6.631 6.631 6.70 6.724	9.6±0.6	2920‡60	1180±30	53±2	3450=70	220±20(D)	
0.632 0.706 H.D. 1.145 1.21 3.125 H.D. 3.44 H.D. 3.91 0.163 0.163 .670 .670 .670	9.0+0.6	4700+100	2770-60	13.450.6	63±15	35±10(b)	
0.706 H.D. 1.145 1.21 3.125 H.D. 3.91 0.163 10 651 670 .670 .697		4110+30	2750±60	12.7-20.7	280-20	(a) 67##	
1.145 1.21 3.125 H.D. 3.44 H.D. 3.91 0.163 0.163 .670 .670 .724 3.01	0	1100110	235±10	71.22	5250±100	350±30(p)	45th br
1.21 3.125 H.D. 3.44 H.D. 3.91 0.163 .631 .670 .670 .697	5.2-0.4	3640=70	3140+60	10.4-0.5	110110	32 th (D)	
3.125 H.D. 3.44 H.D. 3.91 0.163 .631 .670 .670 .697	7.1=0.4	3520-70	3120-60	8.9±0.5	140210	31.7 (0)	
3.44 H.D. 3.91 0.163 .631 .651 .670 .697 .724	0	250=20	100‡5	81‡2	4980-100	400±20(D)	66±5 hr
3.91 0.163 .631 .651 .670 .697 3.01	0	580±30	13025	7872	4870-100	380-20(D)	57.25 hr
0.163 163. .631 .670 .670 .784 3.01	10.5±0.6	3580-70	2160±40	29‡1	1320-30	85±6 (D)	
0.163 .631 .651 .670 .697 .724	ग्∓्ग	2620:1310	1580±1210	43 <sup>+</sup> 29	2580-2200	190±150	
	4.124.11	4930±100	3160±90	4.0=2.0	52±50	10=20	
	11.950.6	4270280	2940±80	10.3±0.7	87-18	55±12	
0 ~ +	9.6±1.9	2950±60	2960±60	7.8±1.0	45215	21:10	
~ +	10.4-0.4	0870604	3030‡60	8.4.0.7	25±12	16210	
	11.3 <sup>2</sup> 0.5	3690±70	31.70±60	8.6±0.6	28=14	ŧĭ,	
	4.0-1-9	3370±60	3140±60	9.840.6	57217	01-11	
	7.8±0.3	001-0464	4080+80	10.1±0.3	39₹4	26±5	
18 5.75 8840170	9.4.0.2	3540+60	2700‡60	10.9±0.2	63±3	22 <sup>‡</sup> 4 (D)	
19 6.47 10,2002200	9.3-0.2	1240+80	3780±80	5.0±0.2	14.2	15 <sup>‡</sup> 4 (D)	
20 12.6 9770±200	10.5±0.2	3360-70	2610=50	11.5±0.2	12=2	₫	
Average 8270±1340	9.8±1.3	3910±590	3160±310	8.6±1.9	h2±19	22-12	
Grand Average 6320±2790	ą.	3260±1000	2370±1010	26±23	1310±1730	100±100	

 <sup>4</sup> Via coincidence counting of annihilation radiation of 12.8-hr Cu<sup>64</sup>.
 5 Balf-lives of the 0.41 MeV y-ray peaks were determined. 65-hr Au is expected product of Au activation.

C Denotes magnetic chondrules.

d H.D. denotes high-density chondrules.

E (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

The large Mn dispersion of magnetic Tennasilm chondrules is entirely consistent with the highly unequilibrated state of Tennasilm olivine and pyroxene minerals, as evidenced by the broad Fe dispersion in these minerals, observed by Dodd and Van Schmus. (1) Note, however, that the Mn dispersion--another index of unequilibration suggested (2) previously--for the nonmagnetic Tennasilm chondrules at some ±10% mean deviation is slightly higher than the ±6% mean Mn dispersion observed in chondrules from ordinary and equilibrated H-, L-, and SB-group chondrites. Since both the magnetic and nonmagnetic Tennasilm chondrules extend over similar mass ranges and therefore have comparable dimensions and should have had similar diffusion lengths for similar chondrule sizes, it is conceivable that the magnetic and nonmagnetic chondrules could have formed according to the general Wood chondrule origin hypothesis in different parts of the solar nebula, with subsequent mixing. The Tennasilm chondrules high in Fe, Co, and Cu probably formed in a relatively high reducing atmosphere with little or no metamorphism of the chondrules in the overall chondritic matrix. Postulating little or a slight degree of metamorphism for Tennasilm would suggest that the environment for formation of the nearly equilibrated nonmagnetic Tennasilm chondrules must have been oxidizing with nearly sufficient time for complete Mn equilibrium distribution among the main chondrule and matrix minerals.

For Tennasilm chondrules, the abundances of the lithophilic elements Na, Sc, Cr, and Mn are inversely correlated with the high Fe, Co, and Cu contents, similar to Renazzo observations. (3) Assuming that the high Fe concentrations (53% to 81%) of the five Tennasilm chondrules are in the metallic phase, the concentrations of the element Na in the silicate phases of these chondrules are about two to five times less compared to the corresponding Na abundances in the nonmagnetic Tennasilm chondrules. This observation, also noted for high-Fe Renazzo chondrules, (3) indicates a low plagioclase content and possibly a higher temperature of chondrule formation in the solar nebula for these high-Fe chondrules.

Chondrules of Weston, a less unequilibrated chondrite than Tennasilm, according to the Fe-dispersion index of Dodd and Van Schmus, show a distinctly high Mn dispersion, consistent with high Mn dispersions in chondrules separated from similar chondrites.

According to the Dodd-Van Schmus Fe-dispersion index for unequilibration, minerals from the chondrite Chainpur rank higher in unequilibration than those from Tennasilm. Although high, none of the Co abundances in Chainpur chondrules approach those observed in Tennasilm or Renazzo chondrules. The absence of appreciable NiO in Chainpur minerals and the presence of appreciable NiO in Renazzo minerals suggest that if the chondrules of Chainpur and Renazzo underwent a similar chemical and physical history in their formation, Chainpur probably experienced a

slightly higher degree of metamorphism, which caused appreciable movement of Ni and Co across the chondrule-matrix interfaces but no significant change in the overall Fe dispersion in the olivine and pyroxene minerals. See Ref. 6 for a further discussion on this point. The general correspondence of Fe, Co, and Cu abundances in several magnetic chondrules from Renazzo and Tennasilm suggests that NiO would be found by microprobe analysis of Tennasilm troilite and silicate minerals.

In the preparation of an extensive manuscript  $^{(7)}$  on elemental abundances, it became clear that elemental abundances which were first determined by INAA approximately 3 years ago should be redetermined with greater accuracy, and also that Cu should be redetermined in all  $\sim 150$  meteorites by our fast  $\gamma - \gamma$  coincidence technique. In Table 3, the new and old data (the latter published in previous quarterly reports) have been listed. In Tables 4 through 8 we have compiled the best values which will be reported in the forthcoming manuscript.  $^{(7)}$ 

#### ABUNDANCES OF INDIUM

In the last progress report, indium abundances in some 15 meteorites and five terrestrial specimens were published. The In abundance study by radiochemical neutron activation analysis has essentially been completed. In Tables 9 and 10, all the In abundances including an additional 12 meteorites and 10 terrestrial specimens have been compiled. Table 11 lists the pertinent atomic abundances for comparison. Further solar abundance studies on In are required to check the high (by a factor of  $\sim$ 9) In solar value compared to carbonaceous chondrites.

In Table 12, In abundances have been compared with the other major elements in the third group of the periodic table. These data and those found in Table 13 will be included in a manuscript on this work.

## ABUNDANCES OF U IN TYPE I CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES

A problem of considerable importance, as set forth by Fowler and Hoyle, (27) has been the nucleosynthetic generation of heavy elements such as uranium and thorium in stars by the r- or rapid neutron capture process. Clayton(28) and Hoyle and Fowler(29) calculated that  $\approx 0.034 \pm 0.011$  U atoms/106 Si atoms should be produced, which is equivalent to  $\approx 0.030$  ppm U in Type I carbonaceous chondrites,  $\approx 0.044$  ppm U in Type II carbonaceous chondrites, and  $\approx 0.052$  ppm U in ordinary chondrites. It has been established fairly well (Reed et al. (23) and Goles and Anders(30)) that the U abundance in ordinary chondrites is  $\approx 0.013$  ppm, a factor of four less than the theoretical.

Table 3 ABUNDANCES OF Na, Mn, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA

	Mass	Na	Na (ppm)	(mqq) aM	(mdd	Cu <sup>a</sup> (ppm)	( md
Type of Meteorite	( <b>g</b> )	New	014	New	014	New	014
Type I Carbonaceous							
Alais	.020	4760±100	5000=200	2180740	2120±80	141=13 (0)	180±80
Ivuna-A	.394	5190±100	5560±120	1620±30	1760±30	$149^{+}_{2}$ (D)	11178
Ivuna-B	414.	4990±100	5420=120	1740±40	1800±30		125-7
Orgue11	.175	4880±100	5180±100	1750±40	1830‡110	115 <del>1</del> 7 (D)	119213
Type II Carbonaceous							
Al Rais	.541	3380-70	3400+80	1630±30	1630±20	94.86	95±15
Boriskino-A	.58	06 <b>-</b> 0044	4380 <del>1</del> 80	1570±30	1610±30	124±18 (D)	130±9
Boriskino-B	.255	4190±80	3630±150	1590±30	1790±80	140‡9	ŀ
Boriskino.C	.815	4170±80	1	1540±30	•	13148	Û
Cold Bokkeveld	.388	2380±50	2410=50	1580±30	1630=20	134±8	144-11
Mighei-A	.521	3850±80	3840±80	1620=30	1650±30	137=10	122+3
Mighei-B	.053	4020+80	4360-200	1620±30	1570±80	21-421	•
Murray-A	<del>4</del> 22.	1510±30	1370-70	1550±30	1780±40	128 <b>-</b> 6 (D)	116+3
Murray.B	.187	1630±30	1690±80	1600±30	1880±90	131 <sup>±</sup> 12 (D)	•
Renazzo	.357	3420=70	3430-40	1650±30	1750±20	107±8	106+3
Santa Cruz-A	.215	4400+80	4200-300	1580-30	1760±30	13848	119‡18
Santa Cruz-B	.248	4500+90	4570-190	1620±30	1780±90	126-13	110-50

 $\frac{a}{2}$  All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

ABUNDANCES OF Na, Mn, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA

	Ž	Na (ppm)	( wad	₩.	Mn (ppm)	Cu <sup>a</sup> (ppm)	) mac
Type of Meteorite	(B)	Nev	014	Nev	014	Nev	014
Type III-A							
Felix-A	.283	4010+80	•	1570±30	ľ	150-10	•
Felix-B	.158	4120±80	4600±200	1460±30	1650±80	128±8	,
Groznaja-A	.770	3260±60	3180±60	1570+30	1600±30	108-7	105±8
Groznaja-B	.260	2980±60	2700±130	1550±30	1900-90	122±9	•
Grozna ja-C	948.	3380-70	ı	1500-30	ı	12016	,
Kaba	,184	3500=70	3620±80	148041	1590740	107 <sup>±</sup> 5 (D)	128+6
Karoonda-A	.072	2760-60	2830±120	1320740	1480‡80	110±8 (D)	80-30
Karoonda-B	.788	2700-590	2980-60	1240±30	1360±30	97.76	107 14
Karoonda-C	.376	4430-250	t	1290±30	ı	92 <sup>‡8</sup>	ŧ
Lancé-A	.845	3700±80	3600±180	1470740	1630±30	140±6 (D)	118‡3
Lancé-B	.692	3630=70	3490±170	1460-30	1610±30	127=7	127=5
Mokoia-A	.871	3290±60	3430±100	1280±30	1360740	106±6	106±11
Mokoia-B	.235	3540=70	2970±150	1370±30	1720±80	103±9	,
Ornans	.286	3910‡80	3940±80	1620+30	1680±40	132±11	14017
Vigarano	.416	1730=140	1840740	1280=30	1280210	105±9	108-14
Warrenton-A	.166	4000±80	4390±90	1440=140	1690±80	147±6 (D)	140+30
Warrenton-B	.733	3780±80	3920±80	1480-30	1580-30	14178	137‡6

All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

.A	BUNDANCES	ABUNDANCES OF Na, Ma, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA	D CU IN METEOR	TIES DETERME	NED BY INAA		
		(mdď) sk	( mdd	(maga) am	( wđơ	Cu <sup>a</sup> (ppm)	( EL
Type of Meteorite	Mass (g)	New	014	New	Old	Nev	010
Type III-B							
Tleschitz	.533	6260±120	6390±90	2200740	2290-20	101 28	94±3
Bishunpur	.082	7700-150	8070±160	2440+70	2580-50	. 83‡6	40-30
Chainpur-A	.279	7080±140	7470±150	2660±50	2880-180	83±10 (D)	40±20
Chainpur-B	.810	5680±150	ı	2050±40	1	<u>e</u>	•
Chainpur-C	1.232	6520±130	02-0199	2450+70	2670-30	( <u>a</u> )	100-2
Khohar	.157	6970±140	7200±150	2360±60	2700-300		04709
Mez8-Madaras	.597	6780-140	1	2220-140	1	97 <b>5</b> (D)	•
Tennasilm	.549	6260±120	ı	2360±50	1	91 <del>*</del> 7	•
Prairie Dog Creek	.652	5300±100	1	2160240	1	7 <b>-</b> 76	,
Bremervorde-A	.258	5940±240	6700±150	2440+40	2380±90	122±17	50-20
Bremervorde-B	₫.	6340±120	ı	2230-40	١	104-18	1
Ngavi	624.	6360±120	6740-120	2370=50	2460=30	106 <b>-</b> 10 (D)	90=20
(no mafic glass)	i,	40015	(F00+130	0040	2250+00	80 <b>+</b> 1}	4,18
Castalia	+CC.	2400-250	051-006	24.0.22	25.00=30	++-10	21
Lua	.594	6630+130		2400=20	1	88-7	•
Weston	.535	5470=110	. 1	2030±140	. 1	101‡7	•
Ranchapur	464.	5270-100	1	2030-40	ı	117‡8	•

All are GA  $\gamma$  -y coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

	ABUNDANCES	OF Na, Mn, AN	ABUNDANCES OF Na, Mn, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA	ITES DETERMIN	ED BY INAA		
		) BN	Na (ppm)	Ma (	Mr (ppm)	Cua (ppm)	n)
Type of Meteorite	Mass (g)	New	014	Nev	014	Mew	014
Enstatites							
Type A	727.	09170067	9200+300	3650±100	3790‡80	20 <b>6</b> ±15 (D)	67,191
Tudarch-A	86.	6680±140	6700+150	1920±60	2790±150	187±8 (D)	•
Indarch-B	.161	7440-140	8030±160	2030±60	2390±190	509±6 (a)	130-70
Type B							
Atlanta	村2.	4370280	•	04 <b>‡</b> 0771	,	94±8 (D)	•
Hvittis	.531	5000=100	•	1480-30	1	2-96	
Khairpur	171.	5600±110	6200=120	2200=60	2760±100	106±5 (b)	60,140
St. Marks	.333	5630±110	5530±120	1570=50	2100120	22018 (D)	

 $^2_{\rm All}$  are GA  $\gamma$  - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

1	ABUNDANCES	ABUNDANCES OF Na., Ma., AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA	D Cu IN METEO	RITES DETERMI	NED BY INAA		
	,	) av	Na (ppm)	Mn	Mn (ppm)	Cua (ppm)	ppm)
Type of Meteorite	Mass (g)	Nev	014	New	Old	New	014
High-Fe Group							
Agen	.180	5950±120	6430±120	2180±60	2320+90	91.25	60=20
Alessandria	.220	4610±180	5890±100	2100740	2120-80	132+26	50-20
Allegan-A	.621	5690±120	6020±120	2190±60	2220-60	10478	70=30
Allegan-B	.452	4990-100	5350±110	2000760	2430±150	52-15	101=17
Allegan-C	.613	5800±120	5830±120	2140+60	2500±150	149 <sup>±</sup> 7 (D)	102-16
Ambapur Nagla	660.	5650±130	6100±200	2060±60	2190±110	11177	70-20
Archie	.285	5330-200	6110±120	2280740	2200740	63±19	49±17
Barbotan	.147	6030±120	6280±120	2060±60	2190±90	115±6	50-20
Beardsley-A	.233	5310±100	5770-120	29007	2530-120	106±13	<b>≤</b> 120
Beardsley-B	.329	5260±100	5000-300	2200-70	2350±120	111=12	•
Beaver Creek	.358	5030-200	5980±120	2170740	2130±90	148±15	21-99
Bielokrynitschie	.053	6120=120	6710+140	2350±40	2140+110	92±15	50±13
Colby (Kans.)	.072	4990±100	5440=120	2080±60	2150±80	51.7	80=20
Cortez	.059	6690±130	7000±300	2670=70	2800±200	88 <sup>‡</sup> 11	90±60
Ehole	.429	5310-200	6150±120	2240740	2490+140	60-17	59±18
Fayetteville	.103	4070+80	4530-590	1490740	1720-80	146±7 (D)	110-50
Forest City	699.	5750±120	,	2110=140	,	7-266	ı
Kilbourn	044.	5350±210	6230±120	2210-40	2180±90	95±12	67-17
Miller-A	311.	5470-100	5300±300	2290±60	3190±160	107-7	,
Miller-B	.5%	5200+100	•	2000740	t	101	,
Monroe (Flows)	.026	5930±120	6200=120	2150740	2290=70	30-20	100-30
Ochansk-A	.285	6050±120	6190±120	1860‡60	2400±120	83±11	50740
Ochansk-B	.358	5830-240	•	2300-40	,	71=15	,
Pantar (II-dark)A	.399	5180±200	6250#120	2120#40	2550±150	95=12	70±20

All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

A	BUNDANCES	ABUNDANCES OF Na, Mn, AND CU IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA	D Cu IN METEOR	RITES DETERM	INED BY INAA		
	7,	Na	Na (ppm)	(mđđ) uW	( mđc	Cuª (ppm)	ppm)
Type of Meteorite	(8)	New	014	New	014	New	014
High-Fe Group Cont.							
Pantar (II-dark)-B	.411	6040=120	6180+120	2300=70	2760±180	57211	65-13
Pantar (II-1t)-A	.265	6180=240	6460±120	2340250	2530±150	94:21	50,20
Pantar (II-lt)-A	.368	5490±100	6090±120	2220=70	2740±140	73=14	99 <del>1</del> 8
Pultusk	304	5720#120	6180±120	2350 170	2470±180	31=22	61 <b>‡</b> 19
Richardton	.585	5320±110	1	1980±10	,	105±7	•
Stalldälen	.172	6000±120	6670±120	2070=60	24305120	11176	60±50
Sindhri	.559	5500-110	ı	2060±40	i	39±1	
Low-Fe Group							
Alfianello	.091	8260±160	8000±300	2380=70	2460790	100	50±30
Atarra	.120	6800±140	7380±140	2420+30	2500±100	93+7	_o <del>_</del> 30
Atemajac	980.	5970=120	70501140	2420-70	2530-100	95±;	0730
Ausson	.311	6400=240	6900±150	2570=50	2420150	91:18	50420
Aztec	260.	7700=150	7830±160	2460=70	2230±110	103±8	50±30
Barratta	.385	6400=120	6600±120	2510=70	2530±170	56±9	60-30
Bath Furnace	.426	6040=540	6640±130	2430720	2310±90	82=12	30+20
Baxter	.330	6950±280	7600 <b>1</b> 150	2530±50	2590‡50	76±16	40=20
Bjurböle	.217	6150±120	6980±150	2260=70	2860±140	112=14	100140
Bori	.103	7000-140	7000-300	2280 <del>1</del> 60	2320±110	127.48	80±20
Bruderheim-A	.200	7140+140	6520-150	2320±60	2800±50	35±6	,
Bruderheim.C	. 562	6380=120	ŧ	2440=50	ı	93±8	•
Bruderheim-D	.260	6730-140	,	2500‡50	•	94:12	
Farmington	.304	4840≠50	5460*110	2210470	2250#90	92*10	60±50

All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

ABUNDANCES OF Na, Mn, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA

4	CONTRACTOR	ADDINGARY OF 184, 124, 124, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125					
		(mdd) eN	( mdd	(mqq) aM	( wđđ	Cu <sup>a</sup> (ppm)	( mđđ
Type of Meteorite	Mass (g)	Nev	014	New	01đ	New	014
Low-Fe Group (Cont.)							
Harleton	.301	7000±280	7350±150	2520=50	3000-300	70-14	60-20
Holbrook	.302	6730±140	5970±120	2580±80	2870-150	80-13	•
HOMPS TARG	.029	7,080,140	7560±160	2540±50	2500±300	114-20	110-70
Kvinau	.100	7430-150	6520±150	2470+70	2900±200	89 <del>1</del> 7	,
Leedev	.291	6650±130	5790120	2440+70	2730±150	118‡811	ı
McKinnev	.256	5840+120	5420-120	2300-70	2850±150	134-12	1
Wors.	.417	5550-220	6110±120	2270=40	2150±120	136-14	63±18
Modoc	.131	6240+120	5700-120	2380±60	2690±150	9 <b>-</b> 26	ı
Paragould	.419	6650-240	7300-140	2550±50	2880=140	113+11	60=20
Peace River	.276	6340+120	7050±140	2490770	2760±160	99‡55	51=7
Perpeti	.131	6630+130	7070±140	2280±60	2390±120	108‡7	70=50
Saratov	.682	6000=120	ı	2180740	ı	116=7	•
St. Michel	.219	7110±150	7500±300	2520=70	2640+130	87=11	<b>≤</b> 130
Tenham	.164	6240=120	6850±130	2350=70	2590±130	9 <del>-</del> 98	<b>≤</b> 140
Walters-A	.336	6480=260	6560±130	2580±50	2540-120	108=12	50-20
Walters-B	161.	6900±140	7100-300	2330+60	2450780	14476	140-20
Wickenburg	.532	6750±130	6760±130	2380‡60	2740-140	11578	120-40

Ågll are GA  $\gamma - \gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

₹ .	BUNDANCES	ABUNDANCES OF MB, MD, AND CO IN METEORITES DETERMINED DI LINA	CU IN METEOR	TIES DETERMI	ED DI LINA		
	;	Na (ppm)	( md	(maga) am	) pm)	Cu <sup>a</sup> (ppm)	( മൂർ
Type of Meteorite	Mass (g)	New	Old	Nev	014	New	019
Soko-Banjites							
Albareto	.337	6260±120	70501140	2510=70	2880±80	88±11	40=20
Appley Bridge	.825	6880±140	02±0469	2510=70	2560±30	73=7	120-30
Arcadla	.149	6130-120	6440±120	2440780	2810=150	9 <del>,</del> 69	80740
Benton	.037	4390 <del>1</del> 90	5660±110	2220±60	2640±100	260±20(p)	210=20
Cherookee Springs	.505	6330±120	,	2410=50	1	9 <del>-</del> 62	•
Ensisheim	.218	5380-210	6200±200	2230740	2440130	117=19	0 <b>1∓</b> 02
Jelica	.273	6340=540	7000-300	2590±50	2470±40	67=17	85‡19
Lake Labyrinth-A	.401	6530±260	7140=140	2640=50	2880-150	65±12	<b>\$</b> 110
Lake Labyrinth-B	.850	6170±120	•	2420-50	•	2-20	•
Manbhoom	.172	041-0779	6200±300	2390±60	2970±150	73-5	•
Mangwendi	.809	6310+120	6300+400	2360±70	2540+30	95±7 (⊅)	140+30
Näs	.159	6930+140	7000±300	2510+80	2530±30	¥1 88	<b>9</b> 1
Olivenza	.890	6640+130	6810+30	2380±70	2540+30	101+7	146+18
Ottawa	1.045	6740+140	02+0669	2470+70	2540460	7±76	149+3
Soko-Banja	688.	6640+130	6820+50	2420+70	2530±30	107+8	137±13
Vavilovka	.311	6920±140	6100+300	2490+70	3010+150	64+11	•

All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

,	ABUNDANCES	ABUNDANCES OF Na, Ma, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA	D Cu IN METE	DRITES DETERM	INEED BY INVAA		
	Mass	Ma (ppm)	Dpm)	Mn (	(mgq) cyw	Cu <sup>a</sup> (ppm)	m)
Type of Meteorite	(g)	Nev	Old	New	014	Nev	019
Achondrites Ca-rich (Eucrites)							
Haraiya	1.510	2800460	2870+150	3660+120	4110480	5+3	<del>3</del> 1
Juvinas	.252	2800+60	2520+130	3970+120	002+0094	9 <del>1</del>	ı
Moore County	.226	3070±60	3020+150	3450+100	3230±150	6+2	•
Nobleborough	.503	3130+60	3500+150	4100+120	4140480	Դ.	₩ ₩
Nuevo Laredo	.172	3430+70	3900+200	4070+120	4300+200	¥.	•
Pasamonte	1.398	2990+60	2990+150	3860+120	4110+80	£ <del>1</del> 3	9+9
Sloux County	.927	2860+60	3630+120	3890+120	4110480	£1	10+10
Stannern	† <del>1</del> 11	3780+80	3380+160	3970+120	4300+200	20+5	ı
Kapoeta (Howardites)	.235	1620±50	1720+80	3910+120	2840+140	<del>6+</del> 5	ı
Petersburg "	.241	2840+90	3000+150	3790+110	3590+160	979	•
Nakhlites							
Nakhla	54Z	3040460	ŧ	3520±70	•	14+2 (D)	1
Ca-poor (Aubrites)							
Bishopville-A	424.	092401119	6560+120	2420+50	2550+100	11 <u>+</u> 6	< 35
Bishopville-B	094.	9950+400	9900+200	870+20	800+30	7+8	<b>&lt;</b> 10
Cumberland Falls-A	.228	280+10	220+20	870+20	860±30	45	<b>∄</b> 1
Cumberland Falls-B	.837	920420	1120+50	1410440	1470+30	5474	28±11
Norton County	.172	380+10	450+20	1600+50	1710+100	14.42	•
Pena Blanca Springs	.167	1470+30	1240460	1220440	1090+50	3-6	•
Pesyanoe	<del>1</del> 84.	2610+50	3410+60	1020+30	1040+30	12 <u>+</u> 4	15±5

All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 3 (Continued)

ABUNDANCES OF Na, Mn, AND Cu IN METEORITES DETERMINED BY INAA

			ADDIMENTED OF MA, MA, CA IN PROPERTIES DESIGNATION DI	A LI PERLEUCE.		יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי		
•		Мевя	) W	Na (ppm)	₩.	Man (propin)	Cue (ppm)	( <u>#</u>
e	Type of Meteorite	(g)	Nev	०१४	Nev	014	Nev	Old
I	Ca-poor (Diogenites)							-
	Johnstown	.776	12446	•	3300+60	•	10±2 (D)	•
	Ureilites	•						
	Novo Urei -A	.333	300+20	520+30	2860±90	3050460	12-4	; 
	Novo Urei -B	.384	360+10		2750±60	•	<del>व</del> ंग	•
	Goalpara	.275	200+10	•	2770+50	•	अंग	•
	Pallasites (Olivines)							
	Admire	.336	•	3.5	1880+40	1950+40	<del>Σ</del> .	22+1
	Eagle Station	.248	ı	1169	1380+30	1340+30	<b>3</b> :	統
Selected	Imilac	824.	•	‡ <b>1</b> 69	21.30-140	2210-40	5.5	29±1
Olivines	Ahumada	.579	2 <del>1</del> 89	117	1910+60	1990+20	77	33+1
	Marjalati	264.	58+14	•	2160440	1	8 <del>4</del> 5	1
	Salta	.263	52+19		2120+40	ı	45	1
	Springwater	.278	•	65±4	2380 <del>11</del> 10	2350+40	<del>2</del> 45	43+1
	Brenham-A	. 788	€∓€2	7945	01,40461		(व) ट्रॉन्ट	•
	Brenham-B	1.058	왕 었	•	1100+30	•	28+3	•
	Phillips County	.162	400+10	•	1340+130	1	1109	•
	Brenham	.146	1 <del>2-1</del> 4	•	1430+40	•	1,11	ı
	Mesosiderites							
	Estherv111e	.388	1630+40	•	3460+120	•	43+12	i
	Vaca Muerta	.558	1190+30	•	1990460	•	127±7 (D)	\$
	Special Pine River (Silicates), 414	7.414	5510±110	•	1320±50	•	81+8	•

All are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 4

RESULTS OF RECENT DETERMINATIONS OF Na, Mn, AND Cu ABUNDANCES IN TYPES I AND II CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES 2, b

Type I Carbonaceous	Mass (g)	Na(ppm)	Mn(ppm)	Cu(ppm)
Alais Ivuna-A Ivuna-B Orgueil Avg (weighted) and Std. Dev. (weighted) Type II	.020 .394 .414 .175	4880 <sup>+</sup> 120 5370 <sup>+</sup> 180 5210 <sup>+</sup> 210 5030 <sup>+</sup> 150 5250 <sup>+</sup> 130	2150 ± 30 1690 ± 70 1770 ± 30 1790 ± 40 1750 ± 70	141 ± 13 (D) 149 ± 7 (D) 138 ± 7 (D) 115 ± 7 (D) 138 ± 12
Carbonaceous  Al Rais  Boriskino A  Boriskino B  Boriskino C  Cold Bokkeveld  Mighei-A  Mighei-B  Murray-A  Murray-B  Renazzo  Santa Cruz-A  Santa Cruz-B	.541 .596 .255 .815 .388 .521 .053 .774 .187 .357 .215	1 .	1630 ± 10 1590 ± 20 1590 ± 30 1540 ± 30 1600 ± 30 1640 ± 20 1620 ± 30 1670 ± 120 1670 ± 50 1670 ± 90 1620 ± 30 1620 ± 30	98 ± 6 124 ± 18 (D) 140 ± 9 131 ± 8 134 ± 8 137 ± 10 124 ± 12 128 ± 6 (D) 131 ± 12 (D) 107 ± 8 138 ± 8 126 ± 13 125 ± 13

 $\frac{a}{W} \text{ eighted average have their population standard deviation; i.e. } \text{avg} \frac{\overline{H}}{\overline{\Sigma} \, m_i} = \frac{\sum m_i \, H_i}{\sum m_i} \, .$ 

Weighted dispersion index  $\equiv \left(\frac{\sum m_i (\text{Hi} - \overline{\text{H}})^2}{\sum m_i}\right)^{1/2}$ .

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{b}{a}$ Value (±) after each abundance is either the standard dev. of two determinations on same specimen (both abundances determined by peak area method) or is the standard deviation of a single determination.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{C}}\!$  All are GA  $\gamma$  - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to  $2^{l_4}$  hours.

Table 5 RESULTS OF RECENT DETERMINATIONS OF Na, Mn, AND Cu ABUNDANCES IN TYPE III-A CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES:  $\underline{b}$ 

Туре ІІІ-А	Mass (g)	Na (ррш)	Mn (ppm)	Cu (ppm) <sup>C</sup>
Felix-A	0.283	4010 <del>*</del> 80	1570 <b>+</b> 30	150 <sup>±</sup> 10
Felix-B	.158	4120 <del>*</del> 80	1460+30	128 <b>-</b> 8
Groznaja-A	.770	3220 <del>*</del> 40	1580 <b>-</b> 20	1.08 7
Groznaja-B	.260	2980 <b>±6</b> 0	1550 <sup>±</sup> 30	1.22 + 9
Groznaja-C	.846	3380 <b>-</b> γο	1500±30	120 <b>±</b> 6
Kaba	.184	3560 <del>*</del> 50	1540-60	107 <sup>±</sup> 5 (D)
Karoonda-A	.072	2800±40	1400-80	110 <sup>±</sup> 8 ( <b>D</b> )
Karoonda-B	.788	2840+1.40	1300-60	97 <b>±</b> 6
Karoonda-C	.376	44 <b>3</b> 0 <sup>±</sup> 250	1290 <sup>±</sup> 30	92 <b>+</b> 8
Lancé-A	.845	3650 <u>+</u> 50	1550 <b>-</b> 80	140 <del>-</del> 6 (D)
Lancé-B	<b>.69</b> 2	35 <b>60</b> ±γω	1540 <del>*</del> 80	127 <b>-</b> 7
Mokoia-A	.871	3360 <del>*</del> 70	1320 <sup>±</sup> 40	10 <b>6</b> <del>-</del> 6
Mokoia-B	.235	3540 <b>-</b> 70	1370-30	10 <b>3</b> ±9
Ornans	.286	3920 <b>-</b> 20	1.650 <sup>+</sup> 30	132+11
Vigarano	.416	1790 <del>-</del> 60 (cut)	1280 <b>-</b> 10	105 <sup>+</sup> 9
Warrenton-A	.166	4200 <sup>±</sup> 200	1570 <b>-</b> 130	147 <b>-</b> 6 (n)
Warrenton-B	.733	3850 <b>±</b> 70	15 <b>30</b> <del>+</del> 50	141-8
		3520 <sup>+</sup> 400	1460 <del>-</del> 120	119+17

Weighted average have their population standard deviation; i.e.  $avg^{\overline{H}} = \frac{\sum m_i H_i}{\sum m_i}$ 

Weighted dispersion index  $\equiv \left(\frac{\sum m_i (||i-\overline{i}|)^2}{\sum m_i}\right)^{1/2}$ .

bvalue (±) after each abundance is either the standard dev. of two determinations on same specimen (both abundances determined by peak area method) or is the standard deviation of a single determination.

CAll are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 6 RESULTS OF RECENT DETERMINATIONS OF Na, Mn, AND Cu ABUNDANCES IN TYPE III-B CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES  $\underline{\bullet},\underline{\flat}$ 

	I			<u> </u>
Type III-B		•-		Cu C
	Mass (g)	Na. (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	(ppm)
Tieschitz	•533	6320 <sup>±</sup> 70	2240+40	101 <del>*</del> 8
Bishunpur	.082	7890 <b>-</b> 190	2510 <sup>‡</sup> 70	83 <del>1</del> 6
Chainpur-A	.279	7 <b>2</b> 80 <b>-</b> 200	2770+110	83 <b>÷</b> 10 (D)
Chainpur-B	.810	5680 <b>±</b> 150	2050 <b>±</b> 40	91 <b>±</b> 8 ( <b>D</b> )
Chainpur-C	1.232	6570 <b>±</b> 50	2560 <b>±</b> 110	87 <b>-</b> 5 (D)
Khohar	.157	7090 <b>±</b> 120	2530 <b>±</b> 170	105 <b>±</b> 6
Mező-Madaras	•597	6780 <b>-</b> 140	2220 <b>±</b> 40	97 <b>±</b> 5 (D)
Tennasilm	.549	6260 <sup>+</sup> 120	2360 <b>-</b> 50	91 <b>±</b> 7
Prairie Dog Creek	.652	5300 <b>±</b> 100	2160 <del>*</del> 40	97 <b>±</b> 7
Bremervorde-A	.258	6320 <sup>±</sup> 380	2410 <del>*</del> 30	122 <b>±</b> 17
Bremervorde-B	.604	6340 <b>±</b> 120	2230±40	104-8
Ngawi (glass?)	.479	6550 <sup>±</sup> 190	2420 <b>±</b> 50	106 <sup>±</sup> 10 (D)
(wt'd avg and wt'd	std. dev.)	6320+550	2450 <b>-</b> 230	96 <sup>‡</sup> 9
(No mafic glass)				
Castalia	. 354	5990 <b>-</b> 510	2260 <b>±</b> 10	89 <b>±</b> 14
Lua	•594	6630 <del>-</del> 130	2400 <sup>±</sup> 50	88 <b>-</b> 7
Weston	<b>.</b> 535	5470 <b>-</b> 110	2030±40	101 <b>-</b> 7
Ranchapur	.494	5270 <b>-</b> 100	2030 <b>±</b> 40	117 <b>±</b> 8

a Weighted average have their population standard deviation; i.e.  $avg^{\overline{H}} = \frac{\sum m_i H_i}{\sum m_i}$ .

Weighted dispersion index  $\equiv \left(\frac{\sum m_i (\text{Hi} - \overline{\text{H}})^2}{\sum m_i}\right)^{1/2}$ .

Devalue (±) after each abundance is either the standard dev. of two determinations on same specimen (both abundances determined by peak area method) or is the standard deviation of a single determination.

CAll are GA  $\gamma$  - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 7

RESULTS OF RECENT DETERMINATIONS OF Na, Mn, AND Cu
ABUNDANCES IN ENSTATITES 2, b

Enstatites	Mass (g)	Na (ppm)	Mra (ppm)	Cu <sup>C</sup> (ppm)
Туре А				
Abee	.727	8600 <sup>±</sup> 700	3720 <b>-</b> 70	206 <sup>+</sup> 15 (D)
Indarch-A	.096	6680 <del>+</del> 140	1920-60	187 <sup>+</sup> 8 (D)
Indarch-B	.161	7740 <sup>±</sup> 300	2030±60	209 <sup>±</sup> 6 (D)
		8270 <b>±</b> 610	3270 <sup>±</sup> 760	205 <del>*</del> 6
Type B				
Atlanta	.744	4370 <del>*</del> 80	1770 <del>*</del> 40	94 <del>-</del> 8 (d)
Hvittis	.531	5000-100	1480+30	96 <b>-</b> 7
Khairpur	.171	5900 <del>*</del> 300	2490 <sup>+</sup> 290	106 <b>+</b> 5 (D)
St. Marks	.333	5630 <b>±</b> 110	1570 <b>±</b> 50	220 <b>±</b> 18 ( <b>D</b> )
		4940-560	1720±280	119 <del>*</del> 48

Weighted average have their population standard deviation; i.e.  $avg^{\overline{H}} = \frac{\sum m_i H_i}{\sum m_i}$ . Weighted dispersion index  $\equiv \left(\frac{\sum m_i (Hi - \overline{H})^2}{\sum m_i}\right)^{1/2}$ .

 $_{ ext{Value}}$  ( $_{ ext{t}}$ ) after each abundance is either the standard dev. of two determinations on same specimen (both abundances determined by peak area method) or is the standard deviation of a single determination.

Call are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 8

RESULTS OF RECENT DETERMINATIONS OF Na, Mn, AND Cu
ABUNDANCES IN Ca-RICH ACHONDRITES 2, b

Ca-rich Achondrites	Mass (g)	Na (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	Cu <sup>c</sup> (ppm)
Eucrites				
Haraiya	1.510	2830 <sup>±</sup> 40	3890 ± 230	5 + 3
Juvinas	.252	2800 ± 60	3970 <b>±</b> 120	8 <b>+</b> 6
Moore County	.226	3070 <b>±</b> 60	3450 <sup>±</sup> 100	7 + 9
Nobleborough	.503	3300 <del>*</del> 200	4120 + 20	1 - 2
Nuevo Laredo	.172	3430 <sup>+</sup> 70	4070 + 120	7 + 2
Pasamonte	1.398	2990 ± 10	3980 <sup>±</sup> 120	4 + 3
Sioux County	.927	3240 <b>+</b> 380	4000 + 110	3 + 3
Stannern	. 444	3780 <b>±</b> 80	3970 ± 120	20 ± 5
Howardites				
Kapoeta	.235	1620 ± 50	3910 + 120	6 <b>±</b> 5
Petersburg	.241	2840 <b>±</b> 90	3790 - 110	6 <del>*</del> 6
(wt'd avg and wt'd st	d. dev.)	3020 <b>±</b> 390	3940 + 130	6 + 5

Weighted average have their population standard deviation; i.e.  $\text{avg}^{\overline{H}} = \frac{\sum m_i H_i}{\sum m_i}$ . Weighted dispersion index  $\equiv \left(\frac{\sum m_i (\text{Hi} - \overline{H})^2}{\sum m_i}\right)^{1/2}$ .

b-Value (±) after each abundance is either the standard dev. of two determinations on same specimen (both abundances determined by peak area method) or is the standard deviation of a single determination.

Call are GA  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence work. (D) denotes average of two determinations usually separated by 15 to 24 hours.

Table 9
INDIUM ABUNDANCES IN METEORITIC MATTER (10<sup>-9</sup>g In/g of Meteorite)

Class	Specimen	In (ppb)	In atoms/10 <sup>6</sup> Si atoms	Average In/10 <sup>6</sup> Si
Carbonaceous Chondrites				
Type I	Orgueil-A Orgueil-B Ivuna	64±6 80±8 80±8	0.17 0.18	0.17±0.02
Type II	Mighei Murray	64±6 47±4	0.12 0.085	0, 10±0, 02
Type III-A	Felix Lancé Mokoia	23±2 25±3 32±3	0.035 0.039 0.050	0,041±0.008
Type III-B	Chainpur Khohar Mezö-Madaras	74±7 14±1 13±1	0.10 0.020 0.018	0,05±0,04
Enstatite Chondrites				
Type A	Abee-A Abee-B Indarch	130±10 56±6 90±9	0.13 0.13	0.13±0.05
Туре В	Atlanta Hvittis Khairpur	0.22±0.04 2.9±0.3 0.24±0.07	0.00027 0.0037 0.00030	0.0014±0.0019
Ordinary Chondrites				
L-Group H-Group	Holbrook Leedey Modoc Allegan Richardton Beardsley	0.6±0.1 0.3±0.1 0.3±0.1 0.1±0.3 0.2±0.5 2.1±0.2	`0.0004 ±0.0002	0,0004±0,0002
Achondrites				
Ca-rich	Juvinas Stannern	1.6±0.2 0.24±0.02	0,0017 0,00026	0.001±0.001
Ca-poor	Johnstown Norton County	0.34±0.03 0.40±0.04	0. 00036 0. 00037	0.00036±0.0000

Table 10
INDIUM ABUNDANCES IN TERRESTRIAL MATTER
(10<sup>-9</sup> In/g Matter)

Туре	Sample	In (ppb)	In (Average) (ppb)
Ultramafic a	Dunite (alpine-type, Canwell Glacier, Alaska) Garnet pyroxenite (Kakanui, New Zealand) Peridotite (Gulkana Glacier, Alaska) Peridotite (slightly serpentinized,	5.6±0.6 14±2 33±4 5.0±0.5	13±9
<u>Basalts</u> <u>b</u>	D3 (Tholeiitic East Pacific Rise) D4 (Tholeiitic East Pacific Rise) Gu-57 (more alkalic, Guadalupe Island) Gu-77 (more alkalic, Guadalupe Island) Composite	94±9 80±15 65±6 avg. 67±7 73±7 80±8 avg. 67±6	73±4
<u>Granites</u> <u>C</u>	Composite of 85, <60% SiO <sub>2</sub> Composite of 191, 60-70% SiO <sub>2</sub> Composite of 213, >70% SiO <sub>2</sub>	83±8 67±6 57±6	69±9
Sediments d	Composite of 36 European paleozoic shales Composite of 40 North American shales	48±5 70±7	59±11

a Ultramafic specimens obtained from G. G. Goles; ultimate sources were Dunite and Gulkana peridotite, J. Hawkins; garnet pyroxenite, B. Mason; Tinaquillo and Mayaguez peridotites, H. Hess.

## 

Source	<u>In/10<sup>6</sup> Si</u>
Suess and Urey(8) (1956)	0.11
Cameron (9) (1959)	0.11
Clayton et al(10) (1961)	0.071
Aller(11) (1965)	0.89 (solar value)
$Akaiwa^{(12)}$ (1966)	0.094 (Type II carbonaceous chondrites)
This paper	0.10 (Type II carbonaceous chondrites)
•	±0.02
This paper	0.0004 (ordinary chondrites)
:	±0.0002

bD3, D4, Gu-57, and Gu-77 basalts obtained from A. E. J. Engel; composite basalts from L. Haskin and P. W. Gast.

CGranitic composites obtained from L. Haskin and P. W. Gast.

d European shale composites obtained from A. G. Hermann; North American from L. Haskin and P. W. Gast.

Table 12 COMPARISON OF AVERAGE ABUNDANCES OF GROUP III ELEMENTS IN METEORITIC AND TERRESTRIAL MATTER

		Ele	ment	
Type of Matter	A1 <del>a</del> (%)	Ga <sup>b</sup> (ppm)	In <sup>C</sup> (ppm)	Tl <sup>d</sup> (ppm)
Meteorites				
Type II Carbonaceous Chondrites	1.2	10	0.055 ±0.008	0.10
Ordinary Chondrites	1.3	5	0.0003 ±0.00017	0,0006
Terrestrial Rocks				
Ultramafic	2.9	1.5	0.013 ±0.009	0.06
Basalts	8.7	17	0.073 ±0.004	0.12
	(8.1 in W-1) e	(16 in W-1)	(0.080 in W-1)	(0.13 in W-1)
Granites	8.8	17	0.069 ±0.009	3, 2
	(7.6 in G-1)	(18 in G-1)	(0.030 in G-1)	(1, 3 in G-1)
Sediments	8.2 (shales) 2.5 (sandstones)	19 (shales)	0.059 (shales) ±0.011	0.7 (shales) 0.8 (sandstones)

Abundances in sequence (for carbonaceous, ordinary chondrites, ultramafic, basalts, granites, and sediments) taken from Mason, (13) Fisher, (14) Eskola, (15) and Nockolds (16) for an average of 23 peridotites; Engel and Engel (17) for Pacific and Atlantic tholeitic basalts; Eskola (15) and Nockolds (16) for an average of 48 alkalic granites; and Clarke (18) for averages in composites of 78 shales and 253 sandstones.

b Abundances in sequence taken from Greenland; (19) Greenland, (19) and Onishi and Sandell; (20) Sandell; (21) Sandell; (21) and Shaw. (22)

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{c}{}$  This paper.

Abundances in sequence taken from Reed et al (23) Reed et al (23) Shaw, (24) Shaw, (24) Shaw, (24)

e-Abundances in G-1 and W-1 standard granite and diabase taken from Fleischer. (25)

Table 13

ROCKS DETERMINED BY NEUTRON ACTIVATION AND ISOTOPIC DILUTION METHODS INDIUM AND OTHER TRACE ELEMENTAL ABUNDANCES IN THE SAME ULTRAMAFIC

Element	Dunite (Canwell Glacier)	Peridotite (slightly serp., Tinaquillo, Ven.)	Peridotite (serp., Mayaguez, P.R.)	Garnet Pyroxemite (Kakanui, New Zealand)	Peridotite (Gulkana Glacier, Alaska)
In (ppb) a	5.6±0.6	5.0±0.5	6.2±0.6	14±2	33±4
Na(ppm)ª	107±6	144±7	233±10	3090=60	1540±40
Na(ppm) <u>b</u>	117±6	1200±60	405±15	3050±90	4170±100
$K(ppm)^{\frac{D}{2}}$	19	26		940	
$Rb(ppm)\frac{D}{d}$	0.072	0.093	1 1	1.7	:
$Sr(ppm) \frac{D}{D}$	2.3	3.9	1 1	33	: ;
Sc(ppm) a	5.0±0.3	11,4±0,3	7.5±0.3	18±0,4	51±1
$Sc(bbm) \frac{D}{D}$	5.9±0.2	14±0.3	9, 2±0, 3	15±0,3	15±0.4
Cr(ppm) <u>a</u>	5310±100	2400±50	1720±40	2540±50	175±6
	3740±80	2290±50	1490±45	3020±60	4200±130
Mn(ppm) <u>a</u>	1090±20	870±20	800≠20	880±20	1820±40
Mn(ppm) <u>b</u>	1240±40	950±20	820±15	1000±30	1110±25
Co(ppm) a	161±5	112±3	102±3	77±3	119±4
Co(ppm) 5	195±8	134±7	93±8	105±6	78±15
Cu(ppm)=',=	44±4	45±4	9±3	12±3	270±20

a This work.

b Values by A. M. Stueber, (26)

Cu<sup>64</sup> by a fast nanosecond coincidence circuit coupled to two 2 in, by 2 in, NaI solid crystals and two single-CThis work; Cu abundances obtained by counting the annihilation radiation (0.51-MeV gamma rays) of 12.8-hr channel analyzers with windows set at 0.46 to 0.56 MeV. Abundances are averages of two determinations separated by about a 24-hr interval. In 1960, Reed et al (23) determined by radiochemical neutron activation the U abundance in the Type I carbonaceous chondrite Orgueil at 0.008 ppm, or four times less than the Hoyle-Fowler theoretical value. It has been assumed by some cosmoscientific groups that Type I carbonaceous chondrites represent the most primitive matter available. However, from our recent work(6) it becomes highly probable that Type I carbonaceous chondrites may actually be collateral primitive matter compared to the other carbonaceous chondrites.

Two years ago Lovering and Morgan<sup>(31)</sup> published a U abundance of 0.024 ±0.004 ppm in the Type I carbonaceous chondrite Orgueil. Their result was also determined by radiochemical activation analysis, and their U result overlapped the predicted Hoyle-Fowler theoretical value. Since the expected ratio of U abundances in Type I to Type II carbonaceous chondrites as determined by Reed et al<sup>(23)</sup> agreed with the ratio of the rareearth abundances observed by us in these two corresponding groups of chondrites, we were immediately suspicious of the Australian results<sup>(31)</sup> and decided to check the U abundance in two different Type I carbonaceous chondrites, Orgueil and Ivuna.

## Experimental Details

Three carefully crushed specimens of Orgueil (0.250 g obtained from Dr. B. Nagy and 0.328 g from Dr. G. G. Goles) and Ivuna (0.738 g from Dr. B. Mason) were individually wrapped in two layers of 0,0005-in,-thick pure aluminum foil. The foil was weighed (≈0.130 g each), and its purpose was to retain any short-lived precursor xenon fission gases within the foil and also to absorb primary fission fragments. The 5.27- $\mu$ g U standard was prepared by evaporating onto an aluminum cup (0.0005 in. thick) 0.053 cc from a U standard solution, containing 99.5  $\mu$ g U/ml in 0.15N HNO<sub>3</sub>. The heat source was a heat lamp. After the solution was evaporated, the aluminum cup was folded over into a flat disk and enclosed in a second aluminum foil to retain all fission gases and fission products. An aluminum blank foil weighing 0.133 g was also folded and enclosed for irradiation. All aluminum foils that contained the three chondritic specimens, the U standards, and the aluminum blank foil were approximately of equal weight; moreover, all of the five disks described above were finally wrapped in two more layers of 0.0005-in.-thick aluminum foils, which were discarded after irradiation.

The five samples were placed next to each other inside an aluminum capsule that was then irradiated for six hours at a thermal neutron flux of  $7 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> in position 6 of the hydraulic shuttle in the General Electric Test Reactor (Vallecitos, California). Since the centerline of the capsule was located about 6 cm from the centerline of the nearest fuel element, about  $5.3 \times 10^{12}$  fast neutrons (0.18 MeV to 10 MeV) cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>

were present in the capsule. This total integrated fast neutron flux is approximately 10% of the total thermal neutron flux.

Pure iron wires of uniform thickness were placed at opposite ends of the five samples. The specific activities of the induced 45-day Fe<sup>59</sup> monitors indicated a maximum thermal neutron flux difference of only 4% among the five samples and an average of 2% among the U standard and the three chondritic specimens. As will be evident below, this correction to the final data becomes negligible.

About three weeks after the irradiation, exhaustive radiochemistry was performed on the five samples to isolate the fission product 12.8-day  $\rm Ba^{140}$ . Assuming (32) a common ratio for  $\rm U^{235}/\rm U^{238}$  in meteoritic and terrestrial U, isolation and counting of  $\rm Ba^{140}$ , which is generated principally as a neutron fission product of  $\rm U^{235}$ , will serve as an indicator of U abundances in the samples. In the radiochemical procedure, the meteorites and the U standard, each with its two inner aluminum wrappers, and the aluminum blank were individually placed in beakers containing about 19 mg of Ba carrier and HCl to dissolve the aluminum. The acids  $\rm H_2SO_4$ , HClO4, and fuming HNO3 were used to destroy the organic matter. SiO2 was removed by HNO3 and HF fumings in teflon beakers with a final fuming by HClO4. After H3BO3 and HNO3 complexed any fluoride that remained, H2SO4 was added and digested to precipitate BaSO4. After the centrifugation, the BaSO4 was washed once with water and then metathesized by heating with 1M Na2CO3. The BaCO3 was then dissolved in dilute HNO3.

Final decontamination steps included (1) a Ba (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> precipitation from fuming HNO<sub>3</sub>, (2) another Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> reprecipitation in the presence of 3 mg Sr carrier and fuming HNO<sub>3</sub>, (3) a Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> scavenge, (4) a BaCrO<sub>4</sub> precipitation, (5) two BaCl<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O precipitations from HClether reagent, and (6) a final BaSO<sub>4</sub> precipitate that was mounted onto a thick aluminum disk and covered with 0.5-mil Mylar film. Chemical recovery yields ranged from 0.66 to 0.81.

Radioactivities of the purified Ba precipitates were followed by both beta and gamma-ray scintillation counting. A Sharp Lowbeta counter with a background of 0.3 counts/min and a geometry of  $\approx 0.45$  was used; for gamma-ray counting, the BaSO<sub>4</sub> specimens were placed directly on a 3-in. by 3-in. NaI solid crystal coupled to a 256-channel pulse height analyzer.

## Results of Beta-Counting

All five BaSO<sub>4</sub> precipitates, corresponding to the Al background foil, the three chondritic specimens, and the U standard, were counted through an 80 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Al absorber. This thickness of Al absorber will depress considerably the internally converted electrons arising from decay of

11.6-day Ba<sup>131</sup> formed by the thermal neutron irradiation of Ba present in the chondrites, Al wrappers, Al background monitor foils, and U standard. Moreover, such an absorber will enhance the growth of the Ba<sup>140</sup> daughter, 40-hour La<sup>140</sup>, since Ba<sup>140</sup> and La<sup>140</sup> betas are transmitted by factors of 0.20 and 0.33, respectively, through  $\approx 80 \text{ mg/cm}^2 \text{ Al}$ . Growth of La<sup>140</sup> was carefully followed throughout the critical five to six day period after the last Ba<sup>140</sup> -La<sup>140</sup> separation. Beyond the growth peak of the decay curve, the decay followed a half-life of  $\approx 12.8$  days within experimental error.

A good test for purity of Ba<sup>140</sup>-La<sup>140</sup> betas in the counter response and the complete absorption in the 80 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Al absorber of the Ba<sup>131</sup> internally converted electrons involves a check of the ratios of the observed beta activity at the peak of the La<sup>140</sup> growth curve to the beta activity at the time of last Bal40 -Lal40 separation time. For the Ba activities from the U standard, Al background foils, and Ivuna, Orgueil-N (Nagy), and Orgueil-G (Goles) samples, we observed ratios of 2.7  $\pm$ 0.1, 2.7  $\pm$ 0.5, 1.8  $\pm$ 0.2,  $2.0 \pm 0.2$ , and  $1.8 \pm 0.2$ , respectively. These results indicate that the natural Ba concentration in the Al monitor was negligible relative to the U standard, while appreciable amounts of Ba<sup>131</sup> electrons were transmitted in the chondritic specimens. This is not too surprising since the expected ratio of  $Ba^{131}$  to  $Ba^{140}$  activities in the carbonaceous chondrites is  $\approx 26$ , assuming 3 ppm Ba and 0.008 ppm U. A large fraction of Ba<sup>131</sup> decay occurs via a 0.494-MeV y-ray which is partially internally converted. Since 80 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> of Al absorber corresponds to a range for 0.30-MeV electrons, internally converted electrons of energy 0, 494 MeV minus the 0.037-MeV K-electron binding energy, or 0.457 MeV, will transmit the absorber.

Choosing the activity values on the equilibrium portions of the Ba<sup>140</sup>-La<sup>140</sup>-Ba<sup>131</sup> decay curve, we calculated the upper limits of U present in the five specimens. For the third column of Table 14, below, the calculations assume that U impurity is homogeneously distributed in the Al wrappers. Note that the U content of 0.080 ppm in the Al wrapper monitors is close to the U abundance of 0.060 ppm determined by neutron activation analysis in zone-refined Al by Jervis and Mackintosh. (33) The results place an average upper limit of 0.018 ppm of U in Type I carbonaceous chondrites by calculalation of the equilibrium decay data.

A more precise calculation of U abundance involves only the beta growth of the 40-hour La<sup>140</sup>, daughter of 12.8-day Ba<sup>140</sup>. As stated above, the total beta activity of Ba<sup>140</sup>-La<sup>140</sup>-Ba<sup>131</sup> was followed through 80 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Al absorber. During the first few hours of beta counting after the last "zero-time" of Ba<sup>140</sup>-La<sup>140</sup> radiochemical separation, 40-hour La<sup>140</sup> grows in linearly. By extrapolating each growth curve of the Ba samples from the U standard, the three chondritic specimens, and the Al blank, the zero-time activity of Ba<sup>140</sup> + Ba<sup>131</sup> was obtained for each. Subtraction of zero-time activity of the Al blank from each of the zero-time activities of the U

standard and the three chondritic specimens yielded the zero-time activities of the  $Ba^{140}+Ba^{131}$  for the chondritic and U standard specimens. Furthermore, subtraction of the total beta activity (say a day after zero-time) of the Al blank from the total beta activities (also the same time after zero-time) of the four other samples yielded the total  $Ba^{140}+Ba^{131}+La^{140}$  activities for the four samples. The subtractions above merely account for any U and Ba impurities that are present in the Al wrappers. After the zero-time activities of  $Ba^{140}+Ba^{131}$  have been corrected for decay (assuming a half-life of 12.2 days, the mean of 12.8-day  $Ba^{140}$  and 11.6-day  $Ba^{131}$ ), the residual  $La^{140}$  beta activity is obtained by subtracting the  $Ba^{140}+Ba^{131}$  activities (corrected for decay) from the total  $Ba^{140}+La^{140}+Ba^{131}$ .

Table 14

UPPER LIMITS TO U ABUNDANCES IN TYPE I CARBONACEOUS

CHONDRITES DETERMINED BY Bal40-Lal40-Bal31

EQUILIBRIUM DECAY DATA

Sample	U Abundance (ppm) (No Correction for U in Al Wrapper)	U Abundance (ppm) (Corrected for U in Al Wrapper)
Al monitor Ivuna Orgueil (N) Orgueil (G)	0.080 ±0.003 0.031 ±0.002 0.062 ±0.003 0.049 ±0.002	0.016 ±0.001 0.020 ±0.003 0.017 ±0.003

In Table 15 below, we have tabulated these results. Again, it must be emphasized that it is assumed that the U and Ba impurity levels that are present in the Al wrappers are homogeneously distributed, at least in 0.13 g Al pieces. (All Al foils used in these experiments were cut from the same Al sheet).

 $Table\ 15$  U ABUNDANCES IN TYPE I CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES DETERMINED BY La  $^{140}$  GROWTH ACTIVITY ONE DAY AFTER Ba  $^{140}$  - La  $^{140}$  SEPARATION

Sample	U Abundance (ppm) (No Correction for U in Al Wrapper)	U Abundance (ppm) (Corrected for U in Al Wrapper)
Al monitor Ivuna Orgueil (N) Orgueil (G)	0.068 ±0.018 0.023 ±0.005 0.042 ±0.007 0.032 ±0.005	0.009 ±0.006 0.003 ±0.009 0.001 ±0.014

All errors attached to the above values indicate one standard deviation due to counting statistics. The U abundances in column two of the above table calculated by the  ${\rm La}^{140}$  betas agree within the 95% confidence levels for the U abundances in column two of the preceding table. However, in all cases, the upper limit values for U are higher due to the contribution of 11.6-day  ${\rm Ba}^{131}$ .

The average abundance of U in three Type I carbonaceous chondritic specimens of 0.004 ppm (column three of the above table) is a factor of two less than the value of 0.008 ppm in Orgueil reported by Reed et al. (23) Recently, Reed (34) has redetermined U in two specimens of Ivuna and one of Orgueil and reports 0.008, 0.007, and >0.006 ppm, respectively. The technique used was neutron activation of U in the well-thermalized neutron flux (isotope tray) at the Argonne Reactor followed by radiochemical separation of iodine fission products.

U abundances may also be calculated by the  $\rm La^{140}$  activity in equilibrium with its parent  $\rm Ba^{140}$ . Calculations similar to those described above (which yielded Table 15 results) were made for determination of the results listed in Table 16.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Table 16} \\ \text{U ABUNDANCES IN TYPE I CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES} \\ \text{DETERMINED BY $L_a^{140}$ BETA ACTIVITY IN EQUILIBRIUM} \\ \text{WITH $B_a^{140}$ ACTIVITY} \end{array}$ 

Sample	U Abundance (ppm) (No Correction for U in Al Wrapper)	U Abundance (ppm) (Corrected for U in Al Wrapper)
Al monitor	0.077 ±0.008	
Ivuna	0.026 ±0.003	$0.012 \pm 0.003$
Orgueil (N)	0.052 ±0.005	$0.013 \pm 0.007$
Orgueil (G)	0.043 ±0.004	$0.010 \pm 0.006$

The average value for U abundances in Type I carbonaceous chondrites via  $La^{140}$  activity in equilibrium with its parent  $Ba^{140}$  is 0.012 ppm, which is 50% higher than the Reed<sup>(23)</sup> values.

## Results of Gamma-Ray Counting

A more precise and unambiguous counting method consists of following the growth and decay of the 12.8-day Ba<sup>140</sup> daughter, 40-hour La<sup>140</sup> (see Table 17). Since the 1.60-Mev gamma-ray activity of La<sup>140</sup> was very low,

the BaSO4 samples were generally counted for 800-minute counting periods. No 1.60-Mev gamma-ray peak was observed in the 800-minute background taken for the Cu-clad NaI integral crystal, housed in a 4-in.-thick lead brick cave.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 17 \\ U ABUNDANCES IN TYPE I CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES \\ DETERMINED BY SCINTILLATION COUNTING OF \\ THE 1.60-MeV $\gamma$-Ray of $La^{140}$ \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Sample	U Abundance (ppm) (No Correction for U in Al Wrapper)	U Abundance (ppm) (Corrected for U in Al Wrapper)
Al monitor Ivuna Orgueil (N) Orgueil (G)	0.051 ±0.007 0.014 ±0.002 0.030 ±0.005 0.030 ±0.003	0.005 ±0.003 0.003 ±0.005 0.005 ±0.004

The average value for U in Type I carbonaceous chondrites determined by  $\gamma$ -ray counting is 0.004 ppm, which is a factor of two less than the Reed et al<sup>(23)</sup> values. However, the large standard deviations attached to the values in the last column of Table 17 certainly overlap the Reed et al<sup>(23,34)</sup> U abundance.

To determine U more precisely in carbonaceous chondrites, future specimens should be more massive and should be wrapped in pure Cu foils in order to reduce the large U contribution in the wrapper foils. Neutron irradiations will be lengthened and the interval from the end of the irradiation to the initiation of radiochemical procedures will be shortened. Also, the U impurity levels should be ascertained in many sections of the Cu wrapping foils in order to check on the assumed homogeneity of U impurity in the wrappers. Incorporation of these factors in future U determinations should permit error limits of ±10% to be achieved.

The amount of U standard evaporated to dryness in the above experiments was purposely kept at a low level of 5.27  $\mu$ g in order to prevent appreciable neutron self-shadowing effects. Since the U abundance in the chondrites was  $\sim 10^3$  times less compared to the irradiated U standard, errors (if any) due to self-shadowing in the U standard will be more serious than those in the chondritic specimens. Some self-shadowing effects might be expected from the large resonance neutron flux present in the General Electric Test Reactor shuttle tube. However, any such effects, if present, would result in a lower U standard activation compared to U activation in the chondritic specimens and, therefore, the true U abundances in the chondritic specimens should be lowered proportionately.

#### REFERENCES

- Dodd, R. T., Jr., and R. Van Schmus, "Significance of the Unequilibrated Ordinary Chondrites," J. Geophys. Res. 70, 3801 (1965).
- Schmitt, R. A., R. H. Smith, and G. G. Goles, "Abundances of Na, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Cu in 218 Individual Chondrules Via Activation Analysis-I," J. Geophys. Res. 70, 2419 (1965).
- 3. Schmitt, R. A., and R. H. Smith, "Abundances of Na, Sc, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Cu (Na-Cu) in 11 Carbonaceous Chondrites; Mg in 26 Ordinary Chondrites; Si in 34 Individual Chondrules; and Na-Cu in 61 Individual Chondrules (Renazzo Included)," GA-5900, General Atomic Division, General Dynamics Corporation, November 30, 1964, Table 4.
- 4. Wood, J. A., "Metamorphism in Chondrites," Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 26,739 (1962).
- 5. Wood, J. A., "Chondrites: Their Metallic Minerals, Thermal Histories, and Parent Planets," submitted for publication in Icarus.
- 6. Schmitt, R. A., G. G. Goles, and R. H. Smith, "Chainpur-like Chondrites, Possible Primitive Precursors of Ordinary Chondrites," accepted for publication in <u>Science</u>.
- 7. Schmitt, R. A., G. G. Goles, and R. H. Smith, "Elemental Abundances in Stone Meteorites," to be submitted for publication in Geochim.

  Cosmochim. Acta.
- 8. Suess, H. E., and H. C. Urey, "Abundances of the Elements," Rev. Mod. Phys. 28, 53-74 (1956).
- 9. Cameron, A. G. W., "A Revised Table of Abundances of the Elements," Astrophys. J. 129, 676-699 (1959).
- 10. Clayton, D. D., et al, "Neutron Capture Chains in Heavy Element Synthesis," Ann. Phys. (NY) 12, 331-409 (1961).
- 11. Aller, L. H., "The Abundance of Elements in the Solar Atomosphere," in Advances in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Vol. 3, Academic Press, New York, 1965, pp. 1-25.

- 12. Akaiwa, H., "Abundances of Selenium, Tellurium, and Indium in Meterorites," J. Geophys. Res. 71, 1919-23 (1966).
- 13. Mason, B., "The Carbonaceous Chondrites," Space Science Reviews 1, 621-646, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Netherlands (1962-3).
- 14. Fisher, D. E., "Non-Destruction Activation Analysis of Meteorites," Meteoritics 2, 137-139 (1964).
- 15. Eskola, P., Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae Ser. A. III, No. 38, 1954.
- 16. Nockolds, S. R., Bull. Geol. Soc. Am. 65, 1007-1032 (1954).
- 17. Engel, A. E. J., and C. G. Engel, "Igneous Rocks of the East Pacific Rise," Science 146, 477-485 (1964).
- 18. Clarke, F. W., "The Data of Geochemistry," Geol. Surv. Bull. 770, Fifth Edition, 1924, p. 30.
- 19. Greenland, L., "Gallium in Chondritic Meteorites," J. Geophys. Res. 70, 3813-17 (1965).
- 20. Onishi, H., and E. B. Sandell, "Gallium in Chondrites," Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 9, 78-82 (1956).
- 21. Sandell, E. B., "The Ga Content of Igneous Rocks," Am. J. Sci. 247, 40-48 (1949).
- 22. Shaw, D. M., "Trace Elements in Pelitic Rocks: Part I, Variation During Metamorphism; Part II, Geochemical Relations," <u>Bull.</u> Geol. Soc. Am. 65,1151-82 (1954).
- 23. Reed, G. W., K. Kigoshi, and A. Turkevich, "Determinations of Concentrations of Heavy Elements in Meteorites by Activation Analysis," <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.</u> 20, 122 (1960).
- 24. Shaw, D. M., "The Geochemistry of Tl, "Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 2, 118-54 (1952).
- 25. Fleischer, M., "Summary of New Data on Rock Samples G-1 and W-1, 1962-1965," Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 29, 1263-1283 (1965).
- 26. Stueber, A.M., "A Geochemical Study of Ultramafic Rocks," Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, 1965.

- 27. Fowler, W. A., and F. Hoyle, "Nuclear Cosmochronology," Ann. Phys. (NY) 10, 280 (1960).
- 28. Clayton, D. D., "A Calculation of the Abundances of Uranium and Thorium from the Primordial Pb<sup>206</sup>/Pb<sup>207</sup> Ratio," J. Geophys. Res. 68, 3715-21 (1963).
- 29. Hoyle, F., and W. A. Fowler, "On the Abundances of Uranium and Thorium in Solar System Material," in <u>Isotopic and Cosmic Chemistry</u>, H. Craig, S. Miller, and G. J. Wasserburg, Eds., North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1964.
- 30. Goles, G. G., and E. Anders, "Abundances of Iodine, Tellurium, and Uranium in Meteorites," Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 26, 723 (1962).
- 31. Lovering, J. F., and J. W. Morgan, "Uranium and Thorium Abundances in Stony Meteorites 1. The Chondritic Meterorites,"

  J. Geophys. Res. 69, 1979-88 (1964).
- 32. For a discussion on the validity of this assumption, see a review article by V. R. Murthy, "Stable Isotope Studies of Some Heavy Elements in Meteorites," in <u>Isotopic and Cosmic Chemistry</u>, H. Craig, S. L. Miller, and G. J. Wasserburg, Eds., North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1964.
- 33. Jervis, R. E., and W. D. Mackintosh, "Activation Analyses of Interest to Atomic Energy Programs," <u>Proceedings 2nd U. N.</u> <u>International Conference on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Vol. 28,</u> <u>United Nations, Geneva, 1958 (P/189).</u>
- 34. Reed, G. W., private communication, 1966.